Page 8 of 11

Serial No.: 09/759,986 Confirmation No.: 3145 Filed: 12 January 2001

For: MULTI-COMPONENT UNIDIRECTIONAL GRAPHIC ARTICLE

Remarks

The Final Office Action of 1 July 2003 has been received and reviewed. With claims 1, 6, 8, 12, 16, 22, 31, and 34 having been amended, and no claims having been added or canceled, the pending claims remain claims 1-24 and 31-35. Applicants traverse all arguments presented by the Office Action and request reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections for at least the reasons set forth below.

Obviousness-Type Double Patenting Rejection

Claims 1-24 and 31-35 were rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 13-40 of U.S. Patent Application No. 09/098,702. Applicants defer addressing this <u>provisional</u> rejection until otherwise allowable subject matter is indicated in the present application.

The 35 U.S.C. §112, First Paragraph, Rejection

Claims 1-24 and 31-35 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the relevant art to which it pertains to make and/or use the invention. Specifically, the Examiner alleges that the word "scrim" in the specification defines it away from the standard definition of scrim, which is a porous sheet.

Applicants maintain that the word scrim is entirely proper as its definition is clear from the specification as originally filed (see, e.g., Specification, page 9, lines 27-28; and page 10, lines 1-2 ("The scrim layer 30 may be any continuous, polymeric film")). "Applicant may be his or her own lexicographer.. Any special meaning assigned to a term 'must be sufficiently clear in the specification that any departure from common usage would be so understood by a person of experience in the field of the invention." M.P.E.P. § 2111.01 (citing Multiform Desiccants Inc. v. Medzam Ltd., 133 F.3d 1473, 1477, 45 USPQ2d 1429, 1432 (Fed. Cir. 1998)).

Page 9 of 11

Serial No.: 09/759,986 Confirmation No.: 3145 Filed: 12 January 2001

For: MULTI-COMPONENT UNIDIRECTIONAL GRAPHIC ARTICLE

As the usage of the term "scrim" is so well understood from Applicants' specification, one of skill in the art would have no trouble understanding the invention. For this reason alone, Applicants submit that the Office's refusal to consider Applicants' definition of the term "scrim" is improper and should be withdrawn. Accordingly, Applicants' previous arguments (including those presented via declaration) warrant full consideration, contrary the assertions of the Office Action.

Nonetheless, in an effort to move this application forward, Applicants have amended claims 1, 6, 8, 12, 16, 22, 31, and 34 to remove the term "scrim." The claims now recite "a substantially transparent, continuous layer/polymeric layer." Such an amendment finds clear support in the specification as originally filed (see, e.g., page 9, lines 27-28 ("The attachment component 14 includes a substantially transparent and un-perforated scrim layer 30"); page 10, lines 1-2 ("The scrim layer 30 may be any continuous, polymeric film"; and figures). As a result, no new matter is introduced. Moreover, as Applicants are amending the claims merely to explicitly recite what was already implicit in the claims, these amendments do not narrow the scope of the identified claims in any way.

As the amended claims no longer include the term "scrim," the 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, rejection is rendered moot.

Applicants further submit that, because the amendments are merely importing a definition into the claims that has been presented previously in the prosecution history, consideration of this amendment will not place an undue burden on the Examiner.

For at least the reasons identified above, entry and consideration of the amendment to claims 1, 6, 8, 12, 16, 22, 31, and 34 are respectfully requested.

The 35 U.S.C. §103 Rejection

Claims 1-24 and 31-35 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Andriash (U.S. Patent No. 5,679,435) in view of Bull (WO No. 97/43128). Applicants traverse this rejection and submit that Applicants' previous arguments (including those provided

Page 10 of 11

Serial No.: 09/759,986 Confirmation No.: 3145 Filed: 12 January 2001

For: MULTI-COMPONENT UNIDIRECTIONAL GRAPHIC ARTICLE

in the declarations of 17 April 2001 and 20 March 2003) — which are incorporated by reference herein — are applicable and sufficient to overcome the rejection, an argument only further strengthened by the amendments made herein.

For instance, it is again submitted that the combination of Andriash and Bull fails to render claims 1-24 and 31-35 obvious as the documents fail to teach each and every element of the claimed invention, e.g., no teaching is identified of an attachment component having a continuous layer/polymeric layer between a pressure sensitive adhesive and a hot melt adhesive as recited in the claims.

Applicants also note that, while not clearly understood in the context of the prosecution history, the Office Action's assertion that a porous scrim layer would allow adhesive to "pass therethrough" (see present Office Action, page 3) is clearly overcome by the recitation of the continuous polymeric layer in each of the amended independent claims.

As a result, reconsideration and allowance of the claims over Andriash in view of Bull are respectfully requested.

Page 11 of 11

Serial No.: 09/759,986 Confirmation No.: 3145 Filed: 12 January 2001

For: MULTI-COMPONENT UNIDIRECTIONAL GRAPHIC ARTICLE

Summary

It is submitted that pending claims 1-24 and 31-35 are in condition for allowance and notification to that effect is respectfully requested. The Examiner is invited to contact Applicants' Representatives, at the below-listed telephone number, if it is believed that prosecution of this application may be assisted thereby.

Respectfully submitted for Sally J. BULL et al.

CENTRAL FAX CENTER

By Mueting, Raasch & Gebhardt, P.A. P.O. Box 581415

Minneapolis, MN 55458-1415 Phone: (612) 305-1220 Facsimile: (612) 305-1228 OFFICIAL

01 October 2003

Date

By: Mathe M. Cham

Matthew W. Adams

Reg. No. 43,459

Direct Dial (612) 305-1227

KWR/mwa/rgg

CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR §1.8:

By: Rackel Gaglind: Galan
Name: Rackel Gaglind: - Galan